



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/807,586	03/24/2004	Shusaku Yamamoto	0399-0130PUS1	4111
2292	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	EWART KOLASCH &	PUROL, DAVID M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/807,586	YAMAMOTO, SH	HUSAKU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M. Purol	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REDEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be adopted the major term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COM 1.136(a). In no event, however iod will apply and will expire SIX stute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 March 2004					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	, _						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
•							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bure			Clage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·	·					
Attachment	• •	[- 1					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03242004</u> .	08) 5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3634

- 1. The preliminary amendment filed on March 24, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. These claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not known the structure which comprises the position stopper for limiting or adjusting the opening and closing of the auxiliary door 4 so that the opening and closing of the auxiliary door 4 can be adjusted. It is not known the structure of the engagement/disengagement lever 15 which permits it to engage or disengage the axial support parts 8,9 from the bearing parts 10,11. It is not known the structure of the appropriate locking part which preferably locks the auxiliary door 4 to the door 2. The specification uses the terms "locking tool 20", "locking part 19", "locking tool 18" in an unconventional manner and these terms further provide a vague description devoid of any structural detail of that which is actually depicted in the drawings. It is not known the structure or circuitry of the auto-lock part 21 which can lock or unlock the locking tool 20 by remotely controlling the controller 22 from outside the door 2. It is not known

Art Unit: 3634

the structure of the auxiliary door which has a lattice that is expandable and retractable in parallel.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

These claims are replete with terminology for which its intended meaning is not understood and further are replete with grammatical/idiomatic errors. For example: claim 1, line 2 "etc.," line 2 "is provided", line 6 "predetermined narrow width", line 7 "open/close", line 10 "is linked in such a manner that", line 11 "functions as", line 13 "lattice-shape or louver-shape", line 14 "can visually confirm the outside"; claim 2 uses the term "side end parts" in a confusing manner; claim 2, line 8 "in accordance with"; claim 3, lines 2-3 "is attachably and detachable provided"; claim 4, lines 2-3 "of aluminum or iron", line 5 "attachably and detachably attached to locking bearing parts", line 6 "are provided", line 7 "opening frame or the inner surface of the door", line 8 "are formed", line 9 "and these", line 12 "a predetermined length"; claim 5, line 2 "is provided" at", line 3 "in order to prevent"; claim 6, line 3 "lattice-shape or louver-shape", line 4 "a receiver can confirm the outside"; claim 7, lines 2-3 "is formed to be foldable", lines 3-4 "of this auxiliary door"; claims 8-10 in their entirety.

These claims are replete with terminology for which there is no antecedent basis. For example: claim 1, line 3 "the side end side", line 7 "the other side end part", line 8 "the inner part", line 11 "the opening part"; claim 4, lines 3-4 "the upper and lower parts"; Application/Control Number: 10/807,586

Art Unit: 3634

claim 5, line 2 "the contacting part", lines 2-3 "the door lock knob"; claim 6, line 2 "the

upper half part".

In addition, these claims are narrative in form and replete with functional or

operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly

and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a

manner as to present a complete operative device.

4. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure: Warfel, O'Harrow, Christison, Hinton et al, Booker, Ryan,

Venti, Sickenius, Britt, Lamazares.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol

at telephone number (571) 272-6833.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

Page 4

DMP (571) 272-6833 September 27, 2005